



UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

X

CASE NO 14 CIV 4989.

MIRIAM SNYDER,

NOTICE OF VOLUNTARY DISMISSAL  
PURSUANT TO F.R.C.P. 41(a)(1)(A)(i)

PRO SE PLAINTIFF,

-V-

DANIEL FISHER GENERAL COUNSEL/ATTORNEY FOR EDUCATIONAL CREDIT MANAGEMENT CORPORATION, JANICE HINES, INDIVIDUALLY AND AS PRESIDENT AND CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER OF EDUCATIONAL CREDIT MANAGEMENT CORPORATION, RICHARD BOYLE, INDIVIDUALLY AND AS DIRECTOR OF EDUCATIONAL CREDIT MANAGEMENT CORPORATION GROUP CEO, EDUCATIONAL CREDIT MANAGEMENT CORPORATION (ECMC) AND ELIZABETH E. FRANKLIN INDIVIDUALLY AND AS CORPORATE COUNSEL PERFORMANT RECOVERY, INC AND PERFORMANT RECOVERY, INC

DEFENDANTS/DEBT COLLECTORS/FICTITIOUS CREDITORS

X

Now comes Miriam Snyder NOTICING THE COURT OF THE PLAINTIFF'S VOLUNTARY DISMISSAL PURSUANT TO F.R.C.P. 41(a)(1)(A)(i) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

Plaintiff Miriam Snyder hereby gives notice that the above captioned action is voluntarily dismissed, WITHOUT PREJUDICE against the defendants in this court.

The Defendants have not been served because of Judge Alison Nathan's ongoing disregard of law and order as exemplified in Plaintiff's two petitions for Judge Nathan's recusal for maliciously sabotaging this case before Defendants were served. In summary, Judge Nathan's sabotage of Plaintiff's case includes the below:

- ✓ Judge Nathan authorized the court creation of a defective complaint and ordered the service of a defective complaint, while Plaintiff did not submit a defective complaint. See Plaintiff's recusal affidavit and exhibits dated July 21, 2014.
- ✓ Judge Nathan's Order dated July 16, 2014 disregarded the principles of Plaintiff's lawsuit against defendants. Plaintiff's main principle for this law suit is Defendant's inability and refusal to produce proof of their claim and right to garnish Plaintiff without any defendant affidavit, contract, court judgment, or warrant.
- ✓ Judge Nathan's Order disregarded the fact that the Defendants have refused to produce proof of their fraud based created debt. Judge Nathan's Orders subterfuges the fact that the defendants do not have proof of any debt owed to the defendants. Judge Nathan's behaviors sabotaged justice in this matter.
- ✓ Judge Nathan has a conflict of interest work history with Eugenicist Boyden Gray who is a major and deadly enemy of Plaintiff Miriam Snyder. Please see Plaintiff's two recusal affidavits submitted in this matter.

Plaintiff is dismissing this matter in this court because of Judge Alison Nathan's refusal to handle this matter in a lawful manner, including her refusal to recuse herself and her sabotaging and conflict of interest, malicious actions and Orders, before the Defendants were served.

If Judge Nathan would have recused herself, this matter would not have been voluntarily dismissed. Plaintiff is suffering further irreparable harm caused by Judge Nathan's unreasonable and malicious behaviors, including her unwarranted, abusive and bullying threat of sanctions with no findings of fact or conclusions of law. Judge Nathan's lawless method of operation is life threatening, malicious, criminal, abusive and requires investigation.


Judge Nathan's behaviors epitomize one agenda and that is to dodge the issue that there is no Defendant proof, no contract or proper paperwork of any debt owed to the Defendants.

Judge Nathan's behaviors have (1) offended public policy as defined by statutes (FDCPA, ADAAA, etc.), [Common Law](#), or otherwise; (2) was immoral, unethical, oppressive, or unscrupulous; and (3) substantially injured consumers. Judge Nathan has willfully denied consumers such as Plaintiff equal access to the courts.

For the above reasons and the two recusal affidavits submitted previously, Judge Nathan judicial complaints have been filed with the local FBI and the U.S. Department of Justice. Plaintiff hereby gives notice that the above captioned action is voluntarily dismissed, without prejudice against the defendant(s).

**AFFIRMATION**

On September 3, 2014, I, Miriam Snyder hereby affirm that the above statements in this Notice of Voluntary Dismissal, are true, correct and summarize the harm and baseless Orders Judge Nathan enforced on me, while ECMC continues to criminally seize my money with no standing, capacity, authority and or proof of claims. I hereby further affirm that the basis of these statements is my own direct knowledge, experience, and historical facts involved. My signature verifies the truth in my sworn statements. All of my statements are true and correct.



Miriam Snyder  
3230 Cruger Avenue 6B  
Bronx, New York 10467  
[miriams@aol.com](mailto:miriams@aol.com)  
516 642 6007

Affirm before me 3, day of September, 2014

Notary Signature Max

Commission Expires: Seal





UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

\_\_\_\_\_X

MIRIAM SNYDER,  
PRO SE PLAINTIFF, CASE NO 14 CIV 4989.

JUDICIAL COMPLAINT, JUDICIAL NOTICE AND RECUSAL  
RECONSIDERATION PETITION AND AFFIDAVIT FOR JUDGE  
NATHAN'S RECUSAL AND DISQUALIFICATION PURSUANT  
TO FED. R. EVIDENCE 201, 28 U.S. CODE § 351, 28 U.S.C.  
SECTION 144 AND 455, 28 U.S.C. § 372(c), 18 USC 241  
AND 242,

-V-

DANIEL FISHER GENERAL COUNSEL/ATTORNEY FOR EDUCATIONAL CREDIT MANAGEMENT CORPORATION,  
JANICE HINES, INDIVIDUALLY AND AS PRESIDENT AND CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER OF EDUCATIONAL CREDIT  
MANAGEMENT CORPORATION, RICHARD BOYLE, INDIVIDUALLY AND AS DIRECTOR OF EDUCATIONAL  
CREDIT MANAGEMENT CORPORATION GROUP CEO, EDUCATIONAL CREDIT MANAGEMENT CORPORATION  
(ECMC) AND ELIZABETH E. FRANKLIN INDIVIDUALLY AND AS CORPORATE COUNSEL PERFORMANT  
RECOVERY, INC AND PERFORMANT RECOVERY, INC

DEFENDANTS/DEBT COLLECTORS/FICTITIOUS CREDITORS

\_\_\_\_\_X

1. I, Miriam Snyder, Plaintiff write this Recusal Reconsideration Affidavit and move for the recusal of Judge Alison Nathan from 14 CIV 4989 for her prejudicial and bias acts, deprivation of rights under color of law, as well as for her obstruction of justice and no findings of facts and conclusions of law Order dated August 8, 2014 and July 16, 2014 and received by Plaintiff July 18, 2014.
2. Plaintiff files this criminal judicial complaint and recusal pursuant to the above captioned laws. Plaintiff has no chance of justice under Judge Nathan's reign as her actions are lawless, harmful, malicious, conflict of interest, prejudicial, bias, unreasonable, threatening, retaliatory, and exemplify malice as documented in Plaintiff's recusal affidavit of July 21, 2014 and the below reconsideration recusal statements.

3. Pursuant to Fed. R. Evidence 201, Plaintiff Miriam Snyder submits this Judicial Notice of the below facts.
4. Disqualification of federal judges is governed by two provisions' of the Judicial Code. Section 144 establishes a procedure by which a party may move that a district judge recuse himself because of bias or prejudice against the party. Section 455 establishes the criteria for judicial self-disqualification for all federal judges.
5. In *Berger v. United States*, the Supreme Court held that, for purposes of the motion to disqualify, the allegations contained in the affidavit were to be accepted as true.
6. August 8, 2014 Judge Alison Nathan again acted in a bias and prejudicial manner by alleging statements in Plaintiff Miriam Snyder's affidavit and petition to recuse her were not to be accepted as true. Judge Nathan has again acted as if she is above the Supreme Court's ruling. She has denounced Plaintiff's affidavit in her continual attempts to invoke confusion to smokescreen the Defendants documented fraud.
7. Judge Nathan's refusal to recuse herself is not based on law, but is based on a documented conflict of interest, malice, prejudice, and bias. Each act effectuating criminal misconduct embedded in:
  - ✓ U.S. CODE: Title 18, Section 241. Conspiracy against civil rights,
  - ✓ U.S. CODE: Title 18, Section 242. Deprivation of rights under color of law,
8. Judge Nathan's August 8, 2014, paragraph 2, justification and authorization of the sabotage of Plaintiff's complaint by her creation of double sided copies, when single sided originals were submitted, exemplifies criminal judicial participation in covert criminal conduct, that maliciously sabotages Plaintiff's complaint to make way for a premeditated strategical dismissal based on a judicial created, conspired and authorized defective complaint. Plaintiff did not submit a defective complaint yet Judge Nathan ordered the servicing of a defective complaint. This is not impartial or resourceful ordering. It is criminal complaint sabotage programming from the bench.
9. Judge Nathan's July 16, 2014 critical misinterpretation of Plaintiff's law suit and disregard of the Defendants lack of standing to garnish/steal Plaintiff's money with no defendant court order, warrant or affidavit, is prejudicial, bias, and exemplifies her conflict of interest and inability to uphold the integrity and independence of the judiciary.
10. Judge Nathan's ongoing refusal to recuse herself based on the law and facts presented, coupled with her August 8, 2014 out of thin air unwarranted, retaliatory and bullying sanctioning threat, epitomizes her malice and ongoing conflict of interest. Judge Nathan from 2002 until 2006, served as an associate in the offices of the law firm [Wilmer Cutler Pickering Hale and Dorr](#). From 1993-2005, this same law firm, Boyden Gray, a criminally insane eugenicist and Satanist and grand enemy of Plaintiff, was a partner in the Wilmer, Cutler, Pickering, Hale and Dorr law firm. Plaintiff has filed several criminal complaints regarding Judge Nathan's law partner and mentor Boyden Gray and his similarly situated criminal usurpation, income lynching, legal abuse and conspiracy against rights crimes. Please see: <http://endorganizedcrime.files.wordpress.com/2012/02/56003334-notarized-tort-may-2011-nys-crime-victim-affidavit-may-20-1.pdf>

11. Judge Nathan's partner and mentor Eugenicist Boyden Gray is operating a criminally insane legal abuse income lynching campaign over Plaintiff's life and despite efforts to have his crimes arrested, his criminally insane retaliation crimes continue. Eugenicist Boyden Gray is mentoring and using Judge Nathan's judicial position to vent his revenge for Plaintiff's exposure of his eugenic dual life and for exposing his many New York State eugenic killing programs. Please see one of the many police reports Plaintiff has written against Boyden Gray in his Regional Killing capacity here: Pgs. 32-68: [http://issuu.com/prayerwarriorsneeded/docs/serial\\_killer\\_boyden\\_gray](http://issuu.com/prayerwarriorsneeded/docs/serial_killer_boyden_gray)
12. Judge Nathan's [Wilmer Cutler Pickering Hale and Dorr](#) partner and mentor, Eugenicist Boyden Gray is a well versed Satanist who specializes in judicial ghost writing, fictional administration and the criminal usurpation of authority he does not have. He is trying to silence the exposure of his eugenic targeting and killing programs, in which he uses such to control judges, lawyers and others by the criminally insane dissemination of deadly pathogens via law department control over hospitals, laboratories, and medical facilities. Again, please see: Police Report against Boyden Gray in his Regional Killing capacity here: Pages 32-68: [http://issuu.com/prayerwarriorsneeded/docs/serial\\_killer\\_boyden\\_gray](http://issuu.com/prayerwarriorsneeded/docs/serial_killer_boyden_gray)
13. Judge Nathan's deliberate financial harm, specifically, her denied order to show cause while Plaintiff's money is being stolen with no defendant, warrant, order, affidavit or signed letter, coupled with her reversed IFP status servicing, and her copying and federal express directives, as well as her rush job out of state service mandate, are inconsistent with the constitutional protections in 42 USC 1981 "EQUAL RIGHTS UNDER THE LAW, particularly as it relates to IFP status.
14. The magnitude of treason, prejudice, biasness and hatred in Judge Nathan's July 16, 2014 Order, coupled with her double sided copies directing Plaintiff to serve the defendants with a judicially conspired defective complaint, coupled with her lacking of FINDINGS OF FACTS AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW, shows that Judge Nathan cannot administer justice to Plaintiff Miriam Snyder while having a work history with Boyden Gray's partnered law firm Wilmer Cutler Pickering Hale and Dorr.
15. Judge Nathan's conflict of interest behaviors and Eugenicist Boyden Gray's mentor relationship with her, while trying to silence and economically further harm Plaintiff requires recusal. This is beyond a conflict of interest.
16. Judge Nathan's recusal denial is unreasonable, dangerous, threatening, further harms Plaintiff, invokes further prejudice and biasness, further denies justice, denies equal access to the courts, advances the impropriety and the appearance of impropriety in her activities, and exemplifies her inability to perform the duties of the office fairly, impartially and diligently.
17. Above all, Judge Nathan's recusal denial and historical partnership and association with her mentor, eugenicist, and Satanist Boyden Gray via her Wilmer Cutler Pickering Hale and Dorr work history and his mentorship over her obstructs the integrity and independence of the judiciary, in this matter.
18. Judge Nathan's judicial position is being used to vent whistleblower revenge against Plaintiff Miriam Snyder on behalf of her mentor and partner eugenicist Boyden Gray. This conflict of interest cannot be overlooked or disregarded and invokes a presumption that such conflict effectuated Judge

Nathan's continuous conspiracy against rights and deprivation of rights under color of law. This includes her creation of a judicially conspired defective complaint, her misinterpretation of plaintiff's lawsuit which focuses on the Defendants lack of standing or enforcement authority to garnish the Plaintiff's money, her summons and complaint servicing directives that were in contravention to Plaintiff's in forma pauperis status. Her overall economic inequality targeting, specifically her cost analysis servicing placement on Plaintiff while Plaintiff has IFP status and her unwarranted unsigned Order to show cause, exemplify a conflict of interest and disregard of financial crimes inflicted on Plaintiff.

19. Plaintiff came to the court to report bonafide debt collection financial crimes inflicted on Plaintiff via legal abuse. Judge Nathan disregarded each crime and the penal codes associated with each crime documented in the Plaintiff's complaint and injunction. Please see the complaint here:  
<http://www.scribd.com/doc/234634232/ECMC-Lawsuit-filed-July-10-2014-Final-Submitted-Needs-Scans>
20. Judge Nathan's behaviors and recusal denial epitomize behaviors towards Plaintiff Miriam Snyder that are unreasonable, prejudicial, harmful, bias, and malicious. If there was any judicial concern for judicial resources, integrity and independence and or the effective and expeditious administration of the business of the courts, in good faith and on behalf of the appearance of fairness she would have recused herself, based on her work history with Boyden Gray's partnered law firm which the judge worked at.
21. Judge Nathan's has no grounds for denying her recusal and her retaliatory and covert silencing sanction threat is meritless, groundless, retaliatory, treasonous, abusive, unwarranted, an abusive threat to cover up her conspired defective double sided sabotaged judicially ordered and authorized complaint. As a Plaintiff and law abiding person, Plaintiff respects rules of authority and takes pride in complying with all rules, in particular the Federal Rules of Procedure.
22. U.S. law enforcement officers and other officials like judges, have been given tremendous power by local, state, and federal government agencies—authority they must have to enforce the law and ensure justice in our country. These powers include the authority to make rulings in court.
23. Preventing abuse of this authority, however, is equally necessary to the health of our nation's democracy. That's why it is a federal crime for anyone acting under "color of law" willfully to deprive or conspire to deprive a person of a right protected by the Constitution or U.S. law. "Color of law" simply means that the person is using authority given to him or her by a local, state, or federal government agency.
24. Judge Nathan's prejudice, bias and conflict of interest acts have failed to keep Plaintiff Miriam Snyder from further harm. The public counts on its law enforcement officials to protect local communities. Judge Nathan in her judicial capacity has willfully failed to keep Plaintiff Miriam Snyder from further harm. These acts include her decision to not sign a temporary restraining order to stop the defendants' theft of Miriam Snyder's money with no defendant warrant, court order, affidavit or signed letter. If judicial resources, or integrity was a concern, Judge Nathan could have signed the temporary order until the defendants produce their authority they are allegedly using to



steal Plaintiff's money. Judge Nathan's acts allow the continuance of financial crimes against Plaintiff, while an injunction could have been used to temporarily stop the crimes.

25. Judge Nathan authorized the criminal sabotage of Plaintiff's complaint. Judge Nathan's order of July 16, 2014 is treasonous as it attempted to overthrow and defraud the Honorable Judge Preska's July 10, 2014 Order granting IFP status, including copy and service of the Plaintiff's non sabotaged complaint to the Defendants by the Marshal. Judge Nathan's acts are in violation of the color of law statute.
26. Judge Nathan's acts include acts within the bounds or limits of her lawful authority, but also acts done without and beyond the bounds of her lawful authority. Her unlawful acts were done under "color of law," the unlawful acts are being done while Judge Nathan is purporting or pretending to act in the performance of her official duties. This definition includes, Judges, more specific, persons who are bound by laws, statutes ordinances, or customs.
27. Judge Nathan has attached herself to this lawsuit and has refused to recuse herself for the sole purpose of seeking revenge for her mentor, eugenicist Boyden Gray or she is fearful of his unregulated deadly pathogenic eugenic dual life as summarized in the above links. Judge Nathan cannot render justice in this matter and for this reason she has attached herself.
28. Judge Nathan's association with Boyden Gray is life threatening to the Plaintiff and to the judge. Eugenicist Boyden Gray is known for organized eugenic killings and infestations of people for control over people. Furthermore, he has a history of eugenically harming and killing people who have acted independently in rendering justice to Plaintiff. The eugenic killing of attorney Gallinson, former Hempstead Public Schools attorney who advocated for a settlement in the Hempstead court matter, for Plaintiff, was eugenically killed immediately after settlement. Mr. Keef the former Hempstead union President who facilitated a Plaintiff settlement was eugenically infested with cancer immediately after facilitating settlement for Plaintiff. Please see page 30 in the below linked public safety police report filed documenting these Boyden Gray unregulated eugenic crimes:  
<http://endorganizedcrime.files.wordpress.com/2012/02/56003334-notarized-tort-may-2011-nys-crime-victim-affidavit-may-20-1.pdf>
29. Plaintiff's family members who supported Plaintiff in the midst of eugenicist Boyden Gray's use of law department's criminal conspiracy against rights income lynching crimes, were eugenically killed under eugenicist Boyden Gray's unregulated and satanic eugenic programming. Plaintiff's 51 year old sister and supporter was killed in the hospital while never being hospitalized previously. Her legs were disabled to induce hospitalization and she was killed thereafter. Plaintiff's sister was supporting the plaintiff and was eugenically killed. Please see:  
<http://endorganizedcrime.files.wordpress.com/2012/02/ns-notarized-wrongful-death-and-killing-of-myra-final-november-28.pdf>

and

<http://www.youblisher.com/p/240130-Nassau-County-Internal-Affairs-Officers-Obstruct-Criminal-Report-Filing-Procedures-Myra-Snyder-Scott-Felonious-Suspicious-Death/>



30. Plaintiff's father was eugenically killed also. He was supporting Plaintiff. He ordered a respiratory machine that was received on a Friday and that Sunday he was killed while using the machine. A toxic machine was sent to him. Plaintiff's father and sister were both supporters of Plaintiff and both were eugenically killed to stop supporting Plaintiff. Please see page 185 exhibit 56 Boyden Gray's coded wrong name SYNDER injection for Plaintiff's elderly father's attempted eugenic killing:  
<http://endorganizedcrime.files.wordpress.com/2012/02/ns-notarized-wrongful-death-and-killing-of-myra-final-november-28.pdf>
- and
- <http://www.youblisher.com/p/240130-Nassau-County-Internal-Affairs-Officers-Obstruct-Criminal-Report-Filing-Procedures-Myra-Snyder-Scott-Felonious-Suspicious-Death/>
31. Plaintiff respectfully demands the disqualification of Judge Nathan from this matter for the above reasons with emphasis on her law partner relationship, work history and eugenicist Boyden Gray's mentor relationship over Judge Alison Nathan.
32. Judge Nathan's actions support claims of acts of a Foreign Agent as stated in the Newspaper article attached as exhibit 2 to Plaintiff's Recusal Affidavit dated \_\_.  
<http://www.washingtonblade.com/2011/10/13/senate-confirms-lesbian-to-federal-judiciary/#sthash.Xuo4LcNz.dpuf>
33. Judge Nathan's acts in this matter and in other matters where she has been accused of acting as a Foreign Agent invokes the appearance of Active Membership in a Subversive Organization, with Specific Intent to Overthrow the Government. One of these groups is eugenicist Boyden Gray's disaster and emergency programming via <http://www.dhses.ny.gov/oem/>.
34. Plaintiff stresses that this recusal and complaint is personal and is against the individual judge. This complaint is not against authority and the system. The average judge has no work history with eugenicist Boyden Gay like Judge Nathan has.
35. If Judge Nathan refuses to recuse herself again, may the court record clearly show via judicial Notice that Judge Nathan has willfully denied Plaintiff equal access to the courts.
36. Plaintiff seeks a hearing before an independent judge and before Plaintiff is further deprived of her liberty interest in a fair jury trial. Hearing is required before an individual is deprived of a liberty interest." This right is a "basic aspect of the duty of government to follow a fair process of decision making when it acts to deprive a person of his possessions. The purpose of this requirement is not only to ensure abstract fair play to the individual. Its purpose, more particularly, is to protect his use and possession of property from arbitrary encroachment. Thus, the notice of hearing and the opportunity to be heard "must be granted at a meaningful time and in a meaningful manner.
37. For the record Plaintiff also Moves this court for a copy of Judge Nathan's Judicial Oath of Office.
38. Judge Nathan's recusal is the only foreseeable way for justice to prevail in this matter. Her non recusal is causing further harm by allowing the Defendants' financial crimes continuation, while the crimes could have been, at minimum temporarily stopped via Plaintiff's injunction which Judge

Nathan arbitrarily, prejudicially, and maliciously refused to sign, without any reason, findings of fact or conclusions of law.

39. Judge Nathan's conflict of interest work history with her mentor eugenicist Boyden Gray is listed online as: [Thomas Paul Gray | Justia Blawg Search](https://blawgsearch.justia.com/Thomas-Paul-Gray) blawgsearch.justia.com › [BlawgSearch](https://blawgsearch.justia.com/Thomas-Paul-Gray)

Results 21 - 40 of 53 - ... Gottlieb, Danielle Gray, Caroline Krass, Trevor Morrison, Alison Nathan, ... We'd meet with Boyden Gray in the smallest conference room. ... of *Kaschke v Gray*, (see judgment [2010] EWHC 690 (QB)) Mr Justice Stadlen ...

40. Judge Nathan's eugenic expertise and opinions include the below:

[http://www.concurringopinions.com/archives/2008/01/bazed\\_and\\_confu.html](http://www.concurringopinions.com/archives/2008/01/bazed_and_confu.html)

41. Judge Nathan's actions are inconsistent with the NYS and federal judicial canons with respect to fairness and conflict of interest.

42. The assignment of Judge Alison Nathan to this Plaintiff's debt collection fraud case obstructs local rule 50.3 assignment of related cases. I have had two other related debt collection cases in this court and have not had to request recusal because the judges had no relationship with Boyden Gray and exemplified an appearance of fairness. Judge Nathan was assigned to this case to further harm me, just like she is doing by her above noted unreasonable acts which further advance the income lynching financial crimes reported to the courts.

43. Judge Nathan's acts show a lack of understanding of protections against legal abuse, ethical compliance and the protections in the ADAAA Laws.


44. Plaintiff Miriam Snyder certifies that this second petition and affidavit for recusal reconsideration is presented in good faith, is needed, is urgent, is in the interests of justice, fairness and on behalf of whistleblower and retaliation protection. Furthermore, this Recusal Reconsideration Affidavit is presented to stop victimization by chicanery.

45. This petition is presented in good faith and has no improper purpose, such as to harass, cause unnecessary delay, or needlessly increase the cost of litigation. Plaintiff seeks a fair chance, more specific, an oath abiding judge who does not have a history with eugenicist Boyden Gray. Plaintiff seeks to have a fair judge who will stop the indisputable debt collection standing fraud and the financial crimes that have been brought to Judge Nathan's attention but disregarded.

46. Plaintiff moves for this recusal to be presented and ruled on by an independent oath abiding lawful 28 USC 372 judge.

AFFIRMATION

On the 21<sup>st</sup> day of August 2014, I, Miriam Snyder hereby affirm that the above statements in this Petition and Affidavit for Recusal Reconsideration of Judge Nathan are true, correct and summarize the conflict of interest, harmful, prejudicial, bias, and baseless acts of Judge Nathan, while the ECMC Defendants continue to unconstitutionally seize and steal my survival pennies with no ownership status or lawful enforcement authority and while I have never had a hearing despite multiple requests. I hereby further affirm that the basis of these statements is my own direct personal knowledge, experience, and historical facts involved. This notarized affidavit with my signature verifies the truth in my sworn statements. All of my statements are true and correct.



Miriam Snyder  
3230 Cruger Avenue 6B  
Bronx, New York 10467  
516 642 6007  
[mirisni@aol.com](mailto:mirisni@aol.com)  
Fax: 866-244-9823

Affirm before me 21 day of August, 2014  
Notary Signature [Signature]  
Commission Expires: Seal

BECHETTE PIERRE MONDY  
Notary Public, State of New York  
Qualified in King County  
No. 01BE6274608  
My Commission Expires 01-07-2017

## REFERENCES:

### EUGENICIST BOYDEN GRAY'S PARTNERSHIP AND MENTORSHIP IN WILMER, CUTLER, PICKERING, HALE AND DORR LAW FIRM

[Gray, C. Boyden - US Department of State](#)

2001-2009.state.gov › ... › [G](#), United States Department of State

Jan 20, 2006 - Prior to his appointment as Ambassador in Brussels, Mr. Gray was a partner in the Wilmer, Cutler, Pickering, Hale and Dorr law firm in ...

[Senate confirms lesbian judge to federal bench - Bay Area ...](#)

ebar.com/blogs/senate-confirms-lesbian-judge-to-federal-bench

Nathan clerked for now-retired U.S. Supreme Court Justice John Paul Stevens, ... a former associate of the Wilmer Cutler Pickering Hale and Dorr law firm, and a ...

🔍 [SERIAL KILLER BOYDEN GRAY DOCUMENTED KILLING ...](#)

[www.endorganizedcrimeuniverse.com/page42.html](http://www.endorganizedcrimeuniverse.com/page42.html)

Herndon worked under the supervision of Gordon's great aunt, Alice Shelton Gray (58). Alice was the founder of the "Human Betterment League," ...

🔍 [The Sterilization League of America and Boyden Gray's ...](#)

[www.democraticunderground.com/.../duboard....](http://www.democraticunderground.com/.../duboard....)

Democratic Underground

Mar 21, 2005 - As chief legal officer in the White House, Boyden Gray can walk the ... As the experiment began, Gordon Gray's great aunt, Alice Shelton Gray, ...

🔍 [And the Truth Shall Set You Free: The 21st Century Edition](#)

books.google.com/books?id=yjEGBAAAQBAJ

[David Icke](#) - 2014

Boyden Gray's great aunt, Alice Shelton Gray, founded the Human Betterment League (the North Carolina branch of the national eugenics sterilisation ...

🔗 [The Eugenics movement - Angelfire](#)

[www.angelfire.com/or/don9840/The Eugenics movement.htm](http://www.angelfire.com/or/don9840/The_Eugenics_movement.htm)

Boydon's great aunt, Alice Shelton Gray founded the Human Betterment ... by like-minded people - Boyden Gray (his legal advisor) and William Draper III (head ...

🔗 [Bush Hitler Family Values Eugenics of Prescott Bush](#)

[www.richardboyden.com/bush\\_hitler\\_family\\_values\\_eugeni.htm](http://www.richardboyden.com/bush_hitler_family_values_eugeni.htm)

•

"Gray's son, C. Bowden was President [H.W.] Bush's very helpful "soulmate", .... As the experiment began, Gordon Gray's great aunt, Alice Shelton Gray, who ...

🔗 [MASTER RACES - THE GREATEST GREED - Google Sites](#)

<https://sites.google.com/site/thegreatestgreed/home/master-races>

His legal counsel from 1980 was Boyden Gray, who became the chief legal advisor to the ... Boyden Gray's great aunt, Alice Shelton Gray, founded the Human ...

🔗 [\[Marxism\] FW: "Pedigree": Bush Gang, Bonesmen, Nazis and Eugenics ...](#)

[lists.csbs.utah.edu/pipermail/marxism/2003-December/101003.html](http://lists.csbs.utah.edu/pipermail/marxism/2003-December/101003.html)

Dec 13, 2003 - Through British imperial contacts, Boyden Gray's grandfather, ... As the experiment began, Gordon Gray's great aunt, Alice Shelton Gray, who ...

🔗 [Serial Killer Boyden Gray Lawless Infiltrations in the ...](#)

[www.docstoc.com](http://www.docstoc.com) › [Personal Finance](#) › [Financial Planning & Retirement](#)

Nov 27, 2008 - Serial Killer Boyden Gray Criminally Insane Hate Crime ... The As the experiment began, Gordon Gray's great aunt, Alice Shelton Gray, who had ...

XC:

FBI New York  
26 Federal Plaza,  
23rd Floor  
New York, NY 10278-0004  
Phone: (212) 384-1000

**U.S. Department of Justice  
Civil Rights Crimes  
950 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW  
Washington, DC 20530-0001**

**President Obama  
The White House  
1600 Pennsylvania Avenue  
NW Washington, DC 20500**

**Federal Trade Commission  
600 Pennsylvania Avenue,  
NW Washington, DC 20580  
Telephone: (202) 326-2222**

**Pro Se Intake Unit  
Daniel Patrick Moynihan  
United States Courthouse  
500 Pearl Street,  
Room 200  
New York, New York 10007**

EXHIBIT 1

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK


-----X  
MIRIAM SNYDER,

Plaintiff,

-v-

DANIEL FISHER, et al.,

Defendants.  
-----X



14-cv-4989 (AJN)

ORDER

ALISON J. NATHAN, District Judge:

On July 16, 2014, this Court issued an order permitting Plaintiff Miriam Snyder to collect the summons and copies of supporting documents from the Clerk's office so that she could expeditiously serve Defendants. Plaintiff has now filed a motion for the undersigned's recusal (Dkt. No. 7). That motion is denied.

To the extent that Plaintiff bases her motion for recusal on the fact that the copies of her complaint that were provided to her by the Clerk's Office were double-sided rather than single-sided (Aff. ¶¶ 13-17), double-sided printing is a reasonable means of conserving resources, not a basis for recusal.

To the extent that Plaintiff bases her motion on the limited amount of time that the Court's July 16 order provided for Plaintiff to serve Defendants (Aff. ¶¶ 21-33), the Court's order was intended to allow Plaintiff to effect service quickly in light of the urgent nature of the allegations in her complaint. As the Court noted in that order, the final deadline for Plaintiff to serve Defendants is 120 days from the issuance of the summons.





Plaintiff raises additional grounds for recusal, none of which have merit. The Court reminds Plaintiff that even pro se litigants must comply with Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 11 and may face sanctions for failing to do so.

If Plaintiff wishes to effect service through the U.S. Marshals Service, she may do so. To allow Plaintiff to effect service on Defendants through the U.S. Marshals Service, the Clerk of Court is instructed to send Plaintiff one U.S. Marshals Service Process Receipt and Return form ("USM-285 form") for each Defendant. If Plaintiff wishes to effect service through the U.S. Marshals Service, then within 30 days of the date of this order, she must complete a USM-285 form for each Defendant and return those forms to the Court's Pro Se Intake Unit at 500 Pearl Street, Room 200, New York, New York 10007.


If Plaintiff does not wish to use the U.S. Marshals Service to effect service, she may instead serve Defendants using the copies of the summons that she has already received from the Clerk's Office, and must notify the Court of her decision not to effect service through the U.S. Marshals Service in writing within 30 days of the date of this order. If Plaintiff would like additional copies of the summons, complaint, and supporting documents, she should contact the Court's Pro Se Intake Unit, at (212) 805-0175. The Court is under no obligation to provide free photocopying services to pro se litigants; any fees that Plaintiff is required to pay are consistent with the ordinary practices of the Pro Se Intake Unit.

Whichever means of service Plaintiff chooses, this lawsuit cannot proceed until the Defendants have been served. In light of the urgent nature of Plaintiff's complaint allegations, the Court again orders Defendants to file a written submission within one week of being served.

Finally, Plaintiff is reminded that pursuant to a standing order of the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of New York,<sup>1</sup> she must communicate with the Court through the Pro Se Intake Unit; pro se litigants are not permitted to email or otherwise communicate directly with Chambers.

SO ORDERED.

Dated: August 8, 2014  
New York, New York



ALISON J. NATHAN  
United States District Judge

<sup>1</sup> Available at [http://nysd.uscourts.gov/prose/pro\\_se\\_litigation.pdf](http://nysd.uscourts.gov/prose/pro_se_litigation.pdf).



UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

X

CASE NO 14 CIV 4989

MIRIAM SNYDER

PRO SE PLAINTIFF,

PLAINTIFF MIRIAM SNYDER'S NOTICE AND MOTION FOR  
IMMEDIATE RECUSAL OF JUDGE ALISON NATHAN PURSUANT TO  
18 U.S.C. 73 - OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE , 28 U.S.C. § 144, BIAS  
OR PREJUDICE OF JUDGE, 28 U.S.C. § 455, DISQUALIFICATION OF  
JUSTICE, JUDGE, OR MAGISTRATE,

-V-

DANIEL FISHER GENERAL COUNSEL/ATTORNEY FOR EDUCATIONAL CREDIT MANAGEMENT CORPORATION,  
JANICE HINES, INDIVIDUALLY AND AS PRESIDENT AND CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER OF EDUCATIONAL CREDIT  
MANAGEMENT CORPORATION, RICHARD BOYLE, INDIVIDUALLY AND AS DIRECTOR OF EDUCATIONAL  
CREDIT MANAGEMENT CORPORATION GROUP CEO, EDUCATIONAL CREDIT MANAGEMENT CORPORATION  
(ECMC) AND ELIZABETH E. FRANKLIN INDIVIDUALLY AND AS CORPORATE COUNSEL PERFORMANT  
RECOVERY, INC AND PERFORMANT RECOVERY, INC

DEFENDANTS/DEBT COLLECTORS/FICTITIOUS CREDITORS

X

Now comes Miriam Snyder, and moves to recuse Judge Alison Nathan from the above entitled matter  
under 18 U.S.C. 73 - Obstruction of Justice, 28 USCS Sec. 455, 28 U.S.C. § 144, and Marshall v Jerrico Inc., 446  
US 238, 242, 100 S.Ct. 1610, 64 L. Ed. 2d 182 (1980) and pursuant to:

- [§ 1505. Obstruction of proceedings before departments, agencies, and committees](#)
- [§ 1512. Tampering with a witness, victim, or an informant](#)

Plaintiff seeks Judge Nathan's recusal for each and every criminal, prejudicial, bias, and malicious act noted in the attached Plaintiff's affidavit and exhibits regarding index number 14 CIV 4989.

Plaintiff seeks an investigation into the criminal acts and a criminal report detailing who sabotaged Plaintiff's law suit by changing Plaintiff's submitted one sided page original verified complaint and other documents into double sided documents and why Judge Nathan ordered the servicing of such improperly copied documents to the defendants.

The act of maliciously sabotaging Plaintiff's verified complaint presentation and format, coupled with judge Nathan's disregard of debt validation and due process rights, compels this needed complaint as all of her acts towards this Plaintiff epitomize judicial criminal insanity, malice, biasness, prejudice, hatred, and induced confusion to cover fraud.

Judge Nathans Order and actions exemplify conduct prejudicial to the effective and expeditious administration of the business of the courts and that she cannot discharge all the duties of the office because of physical or mental disability.

Judge Nathan's Order and her court created double sided complaint steer the proceedings against the Plaintiff and obstructs justice and denies needed judicial neutrality as stated below:

"The neutrality requirement helps to guarantee that life, liberty, or property will not be taken on the basis of an erroneous or distorted conception of the facts or the law."

The above is applicable to this court by application of Article VI of the United States Constitution and Stone v Powell, 428 US 465, 483 n. 35, 96 S. Ct. 3037, 49 L. Ed. 2d 1067 (1976).


"Federal courts, have a constitutional obligation to safeguard personal liberties and to uphold federal law."

The above mentioned Judge has in the past been associated with acting like a Foreign Agent, deliberately violating personal liberties and has wantonly refused to provide due process and equal protection to all litigants before the court and has behaved in a manner inconsistent with that which is needed for full, fair, and impartial hearings.

The United States Constitution guarantees an unbiased Judge who will always provide litigants with full protection of ALL RIGHTS. Therefore, Plaintiff respectfully demands Judge Alison Nathan recuse herself in light of the evidence attached in the Plaintiff's sworn affidavit and the attached Exhibits 1- \_\_\_\_, detailing present and prior unethical and/or illegal conduct or conduct which gives Plaintiff good reason to believe that Judge Nathan cannot hear the above case in a fair and impartial manner.

Plaintiff Miriam Snyder has submitted with this Motion the attached Affidavit in Support.

Respectfully Submitted,

A handwritten signature in blue ink that reads "Miriam Snyder". The signature is written in a cursive, flowing style.

Miriam Snyder



UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

\_\_\_\_\_X

CASE NO 14 CIV 4989

MIRIAM SNYDER

PLAINTIFF MIRIAM SNYDER'S AFFIDAVIT IN SUPPORT OF AN  
IMMEDIATE RECUSAL OF JUDGE ALISON NATHAN PURSUANT TO 18  
U.S.C. 73 - OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE, 28 U.S.C. § 144, BIAS OR  
PREJUDICE OF JUDGE, AND 28 U.S.C. § 455, DISQUALIFICATION OF  
JUSTICE, JUDGE, OR MAGISTRATE

PRO SE PLAINTIFF,

-V-

DANIEL FISHER GENERAL COUNSEL/ATTORNEY FOR EDUCATIONAL CREDIT MANAGEMENT CORPORATION,  
JANICE HINES, INDIVIDUALLY AND AS PRESIDENT AND CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER OF EDUCATIONAL CREDIT  
MANAGEMENT CORPORATION, RICHARD BOYLE, INDIVIDUALLY AND AS DIRECTOR OF EDUCATIONAL  
CREDIT MANAGEMENT CORPORATION GROUP CEO, EDUCATIONAL CREDIT MANAGEMENT CORPORATION  
(ECMC) AND ELIZABETH E. FRANKLIN INDIVIDUALLY AND AS CORPORATE COUNSEL PERFORMANT  
RECOVERY, INC AND PERFORMANT RECOVERY, INC

DEFENDANTS/DEBT COLLECTORS/FICTITIOUS CREDITORS

\_\_\_\_\_X

1. I, Miriam Snyder, Plaintiff write this Affidavit and move for the recusal of Judge Alison Nathan from 14 CIV 4989 for her obstruction of justice, biasness, prejudice, malice and no findings of facts and conclusions of law Order dated July 16, 2014 and received by Plaintiff July 18, 2014.
2. Additionally, I write this affidavit pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 144, "Bias or prejudice of judge," and 28 U.S.C. § 455. Judge Nathan's many actual bias and prejudice acts have further harmed me as detailed below. Her biasness and prejudice is exemplified in her Order reversing cost of copying and out of state process service of the Plaintiff's unsigned Order to show Cause, summons, verified complaint, and affidavits, to the in forma pauperis Plaintiff Miriam Snyder while Miriam Snyder is

before the court with in forma pauperis status seeking an Order to stop financial crimes.

3. Due to the Defendants crimes, specifically, garnishing Plaintiff's money every month in contravention to FDCPA 1692 g(B), without any Defendant court order or judgment, without any Defendant affidavit anywhere, with a host of Defendant unsigned letters, Plaintiff does not have the money to meet the copying and out of state process service cost and demands of Judge Nathan's Order dated July 16, 2014.
4. Additionally, Plaintiff seeks the recusal of Judge Nathan for specific conflicts of interest, appearance of partiality in her covert and malicious Order that further financially harms the Plaintiff while her Order dated July 16, 2014 has no findings of facts and conclusions of law. Please see Judge Nathan's attached Order as Exhibit 1.
5. July 10, 2014 Miriam Snyder filed a one sided type written Order to Show Cause, a Verified Complaint, an affidavit In Support of Her Order to Show Cause, An Affidavit of Specific Negative Averment in Support of her Verified Complaint, and a Rule 34 Request of Plaintiff: Production of Certified Documents. Plaintiff submitted typewritten single sided documents. Each document disputed a non-validated debt created by Educational Credit Management Corporation (ECMC).
6. The Defendants lack of any authentic official document VALIDATING a debt is owed to ECMC is the PRIMARY reason for the law suit and not to challenge the existence of the alleged debt or the telephone harassment as stated in Judge Nathan's attached Order. Judge Nathan's misinterpretation of Plaintiff's lawsuit PRIMARY focus, which is the Defendants violation of FDCPA 1692 g exemplifies Judge Nathan's appearance of prejudice or disability to comprehend written English and consumer protection law.
7. Plaintiff asked the Court to Order the Defendants to substantiate their ownership status and their right to enforce the alleged Debt. This is a critical distinction in consumer protection law, as this law suit is not about the existence of an alleged debt, it is about the Defendants standing to collect and their abusive debt collection practices. These two issues Judge Nathan never mentioned in her Order which obstructs justice for the Plaintiff, makes way for the Defendants continued fraud, invokes deliberate judicial biasness and prejudice.
8. Judge Nathan's Order deliberately misrepresented Plaintiff's law suit focus and such misrepresentation makes way for continued Defendant theft of money not owed the Defendants, advances construed fraud that harms innocent people at the expense of making others rich by fraud.

9. Plaintiff filed this law suit prioritizing and seeking Defendant proof of ownership and proof of right to enforce, which is all part of validation, FDCPA 1692 g.
10. Judge Nathan's Order focused on Defendants harassment phone calls over the need for due process and defendant ownership validation. Telephone harassment is minor next to the due process denials, specifically, Plaintiff has never had a hearing to address the Defendants lack of standing and theft of her money and the ECMC harassment.
11. Plaintiff's money is being criminally seized by the ECMC Defendants while Plaintiff has never had and has been denied a hearing.
12. Judge Nathans Order obstructs consumer protections embedded in the FDCPA 1692 g(B) Collector must cease collection efforts until debt is validated. Judge Nathan refused to temporarily enforce FDCPA 1692 g(B) and as such obstructs consumer protections embedded in the FDCPA and invokes clear interference with state and federal laws, pursuant to 10 USC 333 Interference with Federal or State Law.
13. Judge Nathan's Obstruction of Justice tactics, verified complaint sabotage, and appearance of partiality are revealed in her Ordering the clerk to give Plaintiff a double sided copy of Plaintiff's filings, when and while the Plaintiff gave single sided originals to the court for her filing on July 10, 2014.
14. July 18, 2014 the Pro Se clerk gave Plaintiff Miriam Snyder a copy of Judge Nathan's order and double sided copies of her documents and said the judge ordered the double sided copies and service of the double sided copies to the Defendants. Judge Nathan's Orders obstruct generally accepted pleading practices and the basic guide for a complaint in the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, especially Rule 8, which sets forth the essential elements of a complaint.
15. Judge Nathan's Order to change Plaintiff's single sided verified complaint and other documents into double sided documents was her way of setting up the Plaintiff for a strategic motion to dismiss. The changing of Plaintiff's verified complaint and other documents from one sided to double sided was malicious and demonstrates Judge Alison Nathan's INABILITY to perform judicial duties "impartially, competently and diligently.
16. There is no lawful reason for the Court to give Plaintiff double sided copies of Plaintiff's documents to be served on the defendants, when Plaintiff gave the court single sided originals.



17. Plaintiff objects to the ordering and or sending out of any of her documents in any unacceptable form, such as double sided, unless there are findings of fact and conclusions of law which substantiate this verified complaint sabotage and deviation from professional practice. Please see a copy of Plaintiff's single sided verified complaint and other documents submitted to the court on July 10, 2014 at:

<http://www.scribd.com/doc/234634232/ECMC-Lawsuit-filed-July-10-2014-Final-Submitted-Needs-Scans>

18. Another example of Judge Nathan's prejudice or set up for Plaintiff failure, is that Judge Nathan's Order deliberately reduced Plaintiff Miriam Snyder's filings to a complaint and order to show cause with an accompanying affidavit. Her entire Order failed to document Plaintiff's entire filings which were the below:

- ✓ Order To Show Cause For Preliminary Injunction and Temporary Restraining Order
- ✓ the Verified Complaint,
- ✓ Verification,
- ✓ the affidavit In Support of the Plaintiff's Order to Show Cause,
- ✓ the Affidavit of Specific Negative Averment in Support of Plaintiff's Verified Complaint,
- ✓ Rule 34 Request of Plaintiff: Production of Certified Documents.

19. Plaintiff was notified by the Court of her in forma pauperis status approval on or about July 12, 2014 in response to her Order to Show Cause filings. Plaintiff was given Index number 14 CIV 4989.

20. In addition to Judge Nathan's malicious shifting of the copying and process service financial burden to the indigent and in forma pauperis Plaintiff, she also set impossible time limits for copying and service.

21. Judge Nathan used her judicial position to create and invoke impossible copying and process service summons and complaint timing requirements.

22. Plaintiff was notified of Judge Nathan's Order July 17, 2014.

23. July 18, 2014 Plaintiff picked up Judge Nathan's malicious, costly and Obstruction of Justice Order.

24. Judge Nathan's lawless Order Directed the Plaintiff to pay for the copying and process servicing to 6 out of state Defendants by Monday July 21, 2014 and Plaintiff was informed of the Order on July 17, 2014 and had picked up the Order on July 18, 2014. Even if the Plaintiff had the money to pay for the copying and process servicing of 6 out of state Defendants, Judge Nathan's Order did not allow one business day for Plaintiff to make copies and or much more serve the out of state defendants. Judge Nathan's Order invoked timing and cost impossibilities for proper service.

25. Judge Nathan could have ordered electronic or copying and process servicing of Plaintiff's law suit via the Plaintiff's index number IFP status. Instead she obstructed justice, reversed the in forma pauperis copy and service rule of law and invoked unauthorized, burdensome and impossible conditions. Judge Nathan did this arbitrarily, maliciously and with no findings of fact or conclusions of law. Each of these Judge Nathan acts effectuated judicial appearance of partiality, biasness, prejudice and criminally expert malice.
26. Judge Nathan used her judicial position to invoke conditions that no indigent human being could have met. No human being could have 6 out of state defendants served with corrected copies of her double sided papers with no money.
27. Judge Nathan's Order maliciously and covertly directed Plaintiff to go find over \$400.00 for copying, out of state process service fees, and Federal Express fees for six defendants.
28. Judge Nathan concocted her malicious and oppressive Order knowing the Plaintiff was indigent, was granted in forma pauperis status and knowing Plaintiff filed her law suit because her money was being criminally seized. Judge Nathan's actions and Order imposed warrantless financial obligations, harassment, oppression, and clear and indisputable judicial misconduct embedded in obstruction of justice, actual bias and prejudice and the appearance of partiality.
29. Judge Nathan is acting as a "Foreign Agent" pursuant to 22 USC 611 acting for a "Foreign State" and has willfully and lawlessly allowed ECMC Corporation who has seized property rights in violation of the 11th Amendment, to continue to do so. As such, Plaintiff Demands recusal of Judge Nathan and demands an end to the Defendants extortion and terroristic acts.
30. Judge Nathan has violated the very law she is supposed to enforce. If a debt cannot be validated, there can be no collection of it. This is established by 15 USC 1692g(b). Disputed Debts a debt collector must cease collection of the debt until it is validated.
31. Judge Nathan's misconduct, prejudice, unconstitutional Order and failure to act properly is grounds for her removal from public office. She has acted as a Foreign Agent to the United States of America's Constitution. She has obstructed the rule of law and did so at the expense of further harming another American Citizen.
32. Her Order and behaviors in this matter show she has no allegiance or loyalty to her Judicial Oath of Office, if she has one.
33. Judge Nathan obstructed justice when she ordered a reversal of the in forma pauperis (IFP) protections embedded in: prepay the filing fees; and, service of the summons and complaint will be made, without charge, by the U.S. Marshal.
34. Judge Alison Nathan's Order obstructs justice and exemplifies biasness, prejudice, impartiality, lawlessness and has a criminal pattern embedded in violating:

- ✓ 5 USC 557(C) (3) FINDINGS OF FACTS AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW REQUIRED FOR ALL DECISIONS.
- ✓ 10 USC 333 INTERFERENCE WITH FEDERAL OR STATE LAW
- ✓ 28 USC 372 "OATHS OF JUDGES
- ✓ 42 USC 1981 "EQUAL RIGHTS UNDER THE LAW".
- ✓ 42 USC 1983 "CIVIL RIGHTS VIOLATIONS"
- ✓ 15 USC 1692A-N "FAIR DEBT COLLECTION ACT"
- ✓ 22 USC 611 "FOREIGN AGENTS" OF "FOREIGN PRINCIPALS"
- ✓ 46 USC 781 "PUBLIC VESSELS ACT
- ✓ 18 USC 514 "FICTITIOUS OBLIGATION" PROHIBITED

35. Plaintiff Miriam Snyder invokes section 455 by her attached motion and seeks Judge Nathan's recusal sua sponte so the Defendants can be served pursuant to the in forma pauperis index number given, with the single sided documents Plaintiff submitted to the courts and under the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Rule 8 summarized as:

All suits in federal court must begin with the filing of a complaint. The complaint may only be written on one side of the page. Complaints written on both sides of each page will not be accepted and are grounds for strategic dismissals.

36. Plaintiff seeks an extension of time for service of the Order to show cause, summons and verified complaint and all of Plaintiff's documents and exhibits until a lawful 28 USC 372 judge is secured and Plaintiff seeks such expeditiously consistent with the Order to Show cause emergency.

37. Finally, Judge Nathan must recuse herself due to a conflict of interest. Judge Nathan from 2002 until 2006, served as an associate in the offices of the law firm [Wilmer Cutler Pickering Hale and Dorr](#). Please see her Wilmer Cutler Pickering work history profile here: [http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Alison\\_J.\\_Nathan](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Alison_J._Nathan)

38. From 1993-2005, this same law firm, Boyden Gray, a criminally insane eugenicist and Satanist, was a partner in the Wilmer, Cutler, Pickering, Hale and Dorr. Plaintiff has filed several criminal complaints regarding this criminal.

39. Eugenicist Boyden Gray has used every aspect of government and many corporations to try to covertly kill Plaintiff via legal abuse. He is operating a criminally insane income lynching campaign over Plaintiff's life and despite efforts to have this criminal arrested, his criminally insane targeting and stalking crimes continue.

40. At present, it is my professional opinion that he is using Judge Nathan's judicial position to again target, stalk and income lynch money due Plaintiff. He is a well versed Satanist who specializes in judicial ghost writing, fictional administration and the criminal usurpation of authority he does not have.
41. He has used his partnership in [Wilmer Cutler Pickering Hale and Dorr](#) to infest corruption throughout the nation by mentoring and sending out foreign agents disguised as lawyers. Please meet Judge Nathan's conflict of interest law partner Boyden Gray here:

Boyden Gray In his Judge Nathan conflict of interest Wilmer Cutler Pickering Hale and Dorr Capacity

- A. <http://www.europeaninstitute.org/Board-Biographies/c-boyden-gray.html>
- B. One of many Police Reports against Boyden Gray in his Regional Killing and eugenic capacity here: Pgs 32-68: [http://issuu.com/prayerwarriorsneeded/docs/serial\\_killer\\_boyden\\_gray](http://issuu.com/prayerwarriorsneeded/docs/serial_killer_boyden_gray)
- C. Boyden Gray in his serial killing capacity: <https://www.google.com/webhp?sourceid=chrome-instant&ion=1&espv=2&ie=UTF-8#q=serial%20killer%20boyden%20gray> and <http://www.endorganizedcrimeuniverse.com/page6.html>
42. He is a documented and unregulated criminal and Satanist who has used several third parties to seek his revenge for my documentation of his many unregulated deadly eugenic programs. Please see: <https://www.google.com/webhp?sourceid=chrome-instant&ion=1&espv=2&ie=UTF-8#q=boyden%20gray%20Wilmer%20Cutler%20Pickering%20Hale%20and%20Dorr>
43. Judge Nathan's deliberate financial harm, specifically, her Plaintiff Ordered double sided summons and complaint servicing, copying and federal express directives, as well as her rush job out of state service mandate, are inconsistent with the constitutional protections in 42 USC 1981 "EQUAL RIGHTS UNDER THE LAW, particularly as it relates to IFP status.
44. The magnitude of corruption, prejudice, biasness and hatred in Judge Nathan's July 16, 2014 Order, coupled with her double sided copies directing Plaintiff to serve the defendants with defective documents, coupled with her lacking of FINDINGS OF FACTS AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW, epitomize criminally insane expert obstruction of justice, deliberate sabotage of Plaintiff's God given skills to write an effective type written one sided verified complaint, and indisputably shows that Judge Nathan cannot administer justice to Plaintiff Miriam Snyder while having a work history with Boyden Gray's partnered law firm Wilmer Cutler Pickering Hale and Dorr. She may owe him a favor, and will not obstruct justice in Plaintiff Miriam Snyder's emergency lawsuit to repay whatever obligation she has to him. Her harmful Order is unreasonable and her association and work history with Boyden

**Gray's Wilmer Cutler Pickering Hale and Dorr partnered law firm invokes an indisputable conflict of interest.**


- 45. Judge Nathan's conflict of interest effectuated her unreasonable, prejudicial, harmful, and malicious Order of July 16, 2014.**
- 46. Judge Nathan's Order illustrates her inability to do equal right to the poor and illustrates that she will NOT faithfully and impartially discharge and perform all the duties incumbent upon her as a judge under the Constitution and laws of the United States.**
- 47. Judge Nathan obstructed 5 USC 557(C) (3) and obstructed justice by reversing the rule of law to harm Plaintiff Miriam Snyder while alternative and less costly process service and copying were available.**
- 48. Her directive to send an unsigned Order to Show Cause to the Defendants exemplifies judicial insanity and a mockery of justice.**
- 49. Her Order to send two sided copies of one sided documents Plaintiff submitted is malicious, unreasonable, prejudicial, bias, and obstructs justice. I move for an investigation and written reason explaining why were the one sided documents submitted by Plaintiff, specifically the above noted paragraph 16 documents, changed to double sided while Plaintiff submitted one sided originals. I seek the name of the person who changed Plaintiff's one sided documents to two sided.**
- 50. Judge Nathan's Order and the acts that followed her Order show a pattern of behaving and ruling in a manner that is preventing and hindering Plaintiff from receiving full, fair, impartial hearings and the full, fair, impartial administration of justice.**
- 51. Judge Nathan's conduct in this matter obstructs justice, advances the theft of one's money to strangers/the defendants/people who have no contract with Plaintiff, inflicts confusion to cover fraud, obstructs FDCPA consumer protections, has no findings of fact or conclusions of law, denies one access to protections in the Federal Rules of Evidence, advances the continued denial of due process rights, sets the plaintiff up for a premeditated and unwarranted motion for dismissal based on the double sided scam Plaintiff has nothing to do with, overlooks extortion practices that**

detriment of the Plaintiff and at the onset of litigation before the Defendants were served. To say the least, Plaintiff does not have a chance for any type of fair and impartial hearing and has no chance to be made whole under this corruption and or in this forum. However, Plaintiff publicly invokes the Most High God and warrior angels to rebuke this judicial prejudice curse and turn this case into a blessing, not just for Plaintiff but for other victims of this well-established diabolical induced oppression, warrantless seizure of money, denial of due process, junk debt buying and collection fraud.

52. To this end, this type of malicious ordering and conduct would create in reasonable minds a perception that the judge's ability to carry out judicial responsibilities with integrity, impartiality and competence is impaired.
53. Judge Alison Nathan's hostility is virulent and of such magnitude that it prejudiced and maliciously delays the entire case and as such again invoked an indisputable obstruction of justice. Her lawless and unreasonable Order and actions forced the Plaintiff to file this complaint. This complaint is filed in good faith, on behalf of public safety, under the protection of the State and Federal Constitutions and on behalf of Plaintiff's God given rights to be treated like a human being with decency, order, neutrality and equal rights by members of the judiciary.

AFFIRMATION

On the 21 day of July 2014, I, Miriam Snyder hereby affirm that the above statements and exhibits in this Affidavit in Support of Plaintiff's Motion to Recuse Judge Nathan with Exhibits, are true, correct and summarize the harmful, prejudicial, bias, and baseless Order Judge Nathan enforced on me, while the ECMC Defendants continue to unconstitutionally seize and steal my survival pennies with no ownership status or lawful enforcement authority and while I have never had a hearing despite multiple requests. I hereby further affirm that the basis of these statements is my own direct personal knowledge, experience, and historical facts involved. This notarized affidavit with my signature verifies the truth in my sworn statements. All of my statements are true and correct.



Miriam Snyder  
3230 Cruger Avenue 6B  
Bronx, New York 10467  
516 642 6007

Affirm before me 21, day of JULY, 2014

Notary Signature Norman Sakolsky

Commission Expires: Seal

June 16, 2016

Xc:

New York State  
Commission on Judicial Conduct  
61 Broadway  
New York, NY 10006

NORMAN SAKOLSKY  
NOTARY PUBLIC-STATE OF NEW YORK  
No. 01SA6188758  
Qualified In Suffolk County  
My Commission Expires June 16, 2016

**Xc:**

**New York State**

**Commission on Judicial Conduct**

**61 Broadway**

**New York, NY 10006**

**FBI New York**

**26 Federal Plaza, 23rd Floor**

**New York, NY 10278-0004**

**Phone: (212) 384-1000**

**U.S. Department of Justice**

**Civil Rights Crimes**

**950 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW**

**Washington, DC 20530-0001**

**President Obama**

**The White House**

**1600 Pennsylvania Avenue NW**

**Washington, DC 20500**

**Federal Trade Commission**

**600 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW**

**Washington, DC 20580**

**Telephone: (202) 326-2222**

**Pro Se Intake Unit**

**Daniel Patrick Moynihan**

**United States Courthouse**

**500 Pearl Street, Room 200**

**New York, New York 10007**

**Alison Nathan,**

**U.S. District Judge**

**Thurgood Marshall**

**United States Courthouse**

**40 Foley Square**

**New York, NY 10007**

**[NathanNYSDCChambers@nysd.uscourts.gov](mailto:NathanNYSDCChambers@nysd.uscourts.gov)**



EXHIBIT 1

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK		USDC SDNY DOCUMENT ELECTRONICALLY FILED DOC #: DATE FILED: JUL 16 2014	
MIRIAM SNYDER,	-----X	:	
	:	:	
Plaintiff,	:	:	
	:	:	14 Civ. 4989 (AJN)
-v-	:	:	
	:	:	ORDER
DANIEL FISHER, et al.,	:	:	
	:	:	
Defendants.	:	:	
-----X	-----X	:	

ALISON J. NATHAN, District Judge:

On July 10, 2014, Plaintiff, proceeding *pro se*, filed a complaint and order to show cause with an accompanying affidavit. In her order to show cause, Plaintiff asks this Court to enjoin Defendants from collecting from her, by garnishment or other means, a purported defaulted student loan debt. Plaintiff also asks this Court to direct Defendants to substantiate the existence of the debt to Plaintiff and to cease harassing her by telephone. Accordingly, it is hereby ORDERED that:

The Clerk of Court is directed to issue a summons as to all Defendants, which Plaintiff may collect in person at the Pro Se Intake Unit at the Daniel Patrick Moynihan United States Courthouse at 500 Pearl Street, Room 200, New York, New York 10007. The Clerk of Court is further directed to notify Plaintiff by telephone, at (516) 642-6007, that a summons has been issued and that she may collect the summons at the Pro Se Intake Unit. When Plaintiff receives the summons, the Clerk of Court shall provide her with: (1) the original summons; (2) copies of the summons; (3) copies of the complaint; (4) copies of the unsigned order to show cause filed

1

by Plaintiff; (4) copies of this order; and (5) any procedural information that will assist Plaintiff in effecting service on Defendants.


It is further ORDERED that Plaintiff must effect service on Defendants by **July 21, 2014**, and she must file proof of service with the Court by **July 23, 2014**. If within 120 days of the issuance of the summons, Plaintiff has not made service or requested an extension of time in which to do so, under Rules 4(m) and 41(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, the Court may dismiss this action for failure to prosecute. Finally, it is Plaintiff's obligation to submit a written notification to the Court promptly if Plaintiff's address changes, and the Court may dismiss the action if Plaintiff fails to do so.

It is further ORDERED that **within one week of being served**, Defendants must show cause in writing why an order should not be issued pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 65, enjoining them from collecting the purported student loan debt from Plaintiff by garnishment or other means, and from contacting Plaintiff by telephone as to the debt during the pendency of this action. Plaintiff may respond **within one week of Defendants' submission**. If the Court determines after receiving the parties' submissions that factual issues must be resolved before a decision on Plaintiff's application, the Court will expeditiously schedule a preliminary injunction hearing. Plaintiff should contact the Court's Pro Se Intake Unit, at (212) 805-0175, with any questions.

The Clerk of Court is directed to mail a copy of this order to Plaintiff and to note service on the docket.

SO ORDERED.

Dated: July 16, 2014  
New York, New York

  
ALISON J. NATHAN  
United States District Judge

## Exhibit 2

PLEASE NOTE PLAINTIFF COULD CARE LESS WHO THIS JUDGE SLEEPS WITH, THE ISSUE IS SHE HAS ACTED LIKE A FOREIGN AGENT.

October 13, 2011 | by Chris Johnson

### Senate confirms lesbian to federal judiciary

The U.S. Senate confirmed on Thursday an out judicial nominee to become the second-ever open lesbian to sit on the federal bench.

Senators confirmed Alison Nathan, whom President Obama [nominated](#) in March for a seat on the U.S. District Court of the Southern District of New York, by a vote of 48-44.

Senate Judiciary Committee Chair Patrick Leahy (D-Vt.) said on the Senate floor there was “no question the Senate should confirm Ms. Nathan.”

“As her resume shows, she is an accomplished nominee with significant experience in private practice, academia and government service,” Leahy said. “Twenty-seven former Supreme Court clerks have written to the Judiciary Committee in support of Ms. Nathan’s qualifications, including clerks who worked for the conservative Justices.”

Shin Inouye, a White House spokesperson, commended the Senate for confirming Obama’s nominee.

“The President welcomes the confirmation of Alison Nathan,” Inouye said. “She will serve the American people well from the District Court bench.”

Currently special counsel to the Solicitor General of New York, Nathan has also served as a special assistant to President Obama and an associate White House counsel. Before joining government service, she taught law first as a visiting assistant professor at Fordham University Law School, and later as a Fritz Alexander fellow at New York University School of Law.

All Democratic senators who were present voted in favor of the nomination. All Republicans who were present voted against her, including GOP senators known for holding pro-LGBT views, such as Sens. Susan Collins (R-Maine), Olympia Snowe (R-Maine), Mark Kirk (R-Ill.) and Scott Brown (R-Mass.).

Senators who didn’t vote were Sens. Tom Coburn (R-Okla.), Joseph Lieberman (I-Conn.), Debbie Stabenow (D-Mich.), Kay Hagan (D-N.C.), Richard Lugar (R-Ind.), David Vitter (R-La.), Tom Harkin (D-Iowa) and Joe Manchin (D-W.V.).

The Senate didn’t confirm Nathan without opposition on the floor. Republican senators spoke out against her.

Sen. Charles Grassley (R-Iowa), ranking Republican on the Senate Judiciary Committee, said he voted to report Nathan’s nomination to the floor, but couldn’t vote for her on Thursday — as well as judicial nominee Judge Susan Hickey — because of their records and American Bar Association ratings.

“Ms. Nathan and Judge Hickey both have had limited experience in the courtroom,” Grassley said. “They have failed to meet even the minimum qualifications that the ABA uses in rating process.”

Sen. Jeff Sessions (R-Ala.), who's known for opposing pro-LGBT initiatives in Congress, also expressed concerns on the floor about Nathan's legal experience and what he said was her belief that judges can look to foreign law in deciding cases.

"It's very hard for me to believe that I should vote to confirm a judge who's not committed to following our law, who believes they have a right to scrutinize the world, find some law in some other country, bring it home, and use that law to make it achieve a result in the case they wanted," Sessions said.

Leahy defended Nathan's nomination on the Senate floor, saying although her ABA recommendation wasn't unanimous, a majority on the standing committee that evaluated her said she was qualified.

"I note that a majority of the Standing Committee rated Ms. Nathan 'qualified' to serve," Leahy said. "I also note that Ms. Nathan's ABA rating is equal to or better than the rating received by 33 of President Bush's confirmed judicial nominees, who were supported by nearly every Republican senator."

Sen. Chuck Schumer (D-N.Y.) said the claim that Nathan has made any assertion that she'd look to foreign law when deciding cases is "patently false."

In a questionnaire [response](#) to written questions from Grassley, Nathan wrote: "If I were confirmed as a United States District Court Judge, foreign law would have no relevance to my interpretation of the United States Constitution. In this area, as in all others, I would follow binding Supreme Court precedent."

LGBT advocates praised the Senate for confirming Nathan and sending the third openly gay person to the federal bench.

Joe Solmonese, president of the Human Rights Campaign, hailed the confirmation and said additional representation of LGBT people in the courts is necessary.

"Alison Nathan's demonstrated intellect and dedication to public service is a model of achievement for LGBT youth and we commend the Senate for their confirmation vote today," he said. "With qualified LGBT attorneys all across the country, we look forward to the federal courts reflecting the diverse composition of our society in districts from coast to coast."

Chuck Wolfe, CEO of the Gay & Lesbian Victory Fund, called the Nathan confirmation "another step toward America's leadership class reflecting the country it serves."

"For too long Washington has ignored the impressive talent and experience found in the LGBT community," Wolfe said. "It's been beholden to the opinion of extremists who wanted to exclude us. We have to continue to fight against that kind of political homophobia, and we will."

The first lesbian — and first openly LGBT person — to sit on the federal bench is Deborah Batts, who was nominated and confirmation for a position on the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of New York during the Clinton Administration.

In July, the Senate [confirmed](#) J. Paul Oetken to another seat on the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of New York, making him the first openly gay male to sit on the federal bench.

Two other openly gay nominees are also pending before the Senate: Michael Fitzgerald, who was [nominated](#) for a position on the U.S. District Court for the Central District of California; and Edward DuMont, who was nominated for a seat on the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit.

The Senate Judiciary Committee held the confirmation [hearing](#) for Fitzgerald last week. The panel hasn't reported out the nomination, but the record for committee members to submit follow up questions closed only this week.

Obama renominated DuMont in January after the 111th Congress took no action on his appointment. DuMont's nomination has yet to be considered by the full Senate — or even the Senate Judiciary Committee.

- See more at: <http://www.washingtonblade.com/2011/10/13/senate-confirms-lesbian-to-federal-judiciary/#sthash.Xuo4LcNz.dpuf>



UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

X

MIRIAM SNYDER

PRO SE PLAINTIFF,

CASE NO 14 CIV 4989

PLAINTIFF REQUEST FOR HER LAWSUIT TO BE ASSIGNED TO A 28  
USC 372 JUDGE EFFECTIVE IMMEDIATELY.

PLAINTIFF REQUEST FOR HER ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE TO BE  
RULED ON IMMEDIATELY PURSUANT TO 5 USC 557(C) (3)  
FINDINGS OF FACTS AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW REQUIRED FOR  
ALL DECISIONS.

PLAINTIFF REQUEST FOR IFP PROPER SERVICE OF PLAINTIFF'S  
SUBMITTED ONE SIDED DOCUMENTS AND REQUEST FOR AN  
EXTENSION OF TIME FOR THE SERVICING OF PLAINTIFF'S  
DOCUMENTS BASED ON THE INFLICTED CONFUSION  
DOCUMENTED IN THE ATTACHED PLAINTIFF'S MOTION AND  
AFFDAVIT FOR RECUSAL OF JUDGE NATHAN

-V-

DANIEL FISHER GENERAL COUNSEL/ATTORNEY FOR EDUCATIONAL CREDIT MANAGEMENT CORPORATION,  
JANICE HINES, INDIVIDUALLY AND AS PRESIDENT AND CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER OF EDUCATIONAL CREDIT  
MANAGEMENT CORPORATION, RICHARD BOYLE, INDIVIDUALLY AND AS DIRECTOR OF EDUCATIONAL  
CREDIT MANAGEMENT CORPORATION GROUP CEO, EDUCATIONAL CREDIT MANAGEMENT CORPORATION  
(ECMC) AND ELIZABETH E. FRANKLIN INDIVIDUALLY AND AS CORPORATE COUNSEL PERFORMANT  
RECOVERY, INC AND PERFORMANT RECOVERY, INC

DEFENDANTS/DEBT COLLECTORS/FICTITIOUS CREDITORS

X

1. Now comes Miriam Snyder timely requesting an extension of time to have her below court

submitted single sided original documents served on the Defendants consistent with the prepay

services granted with the in forma pauperis status. The single sided originals submitted to the courts on July 10, 2014 are listed below:

- ✓ Order To Show Cause For Preliminary Injunction And Temporary Restraining Order
- ✓ the Verified Complaint,
- ✓ Verification,
- ✓ the affidavit In Support of the Plaintiff's Order to Show Cause,
- ✓ the Affidavit of Specific Negative Averment in Support of Plaintiff's Verified Complaint,
- ✓ Rule 34 Request of Plaintiff: Production of Certified Documents.

2. Plaintiff seeks an end to inflicted confusion to smokescreen fraud. Due to the crimes and obstructions noted in Plaintiff's attached affidavit dated July 21, 2014, Plaintiff's law suit service was delayed and could have been maliciously sabotaged.
3. Plaintiff respectfully request on behalf of the administration of justice, an Oath respecting judge to read her papers, administer justice and rule on the Plaintiff's Order to Show Cause for Preliminary Injunction and Temporary Restraining Order pursuant to 5 USC 557(C) (3).
4. Plaintiff further seeks a reasonable extension of time for her above noted papers to be served on the Defendants consistent with RULE 7004. PROCESS; SERVICE OF SUMMONS, COMPLAINT and consistent with the in forma pauperis (IFP) affidavit which Plaintiff completed and signed in the Clerk's Office on July 10, 2014. Please take notice that on July 12, 2014 Plaintiff was told in forma pauperis status was granted and was given index number 14 CIV 4989.
5. Plaintiff respectfully requests to proceed as a pauper, with the prepaid filing fees; and, service of the summons and complaint made, without charge, by the U.S. Marshal.



6. Due to the urgency detailed in Plaintiff's Order to show cause and verified complaint, Plaintiff seeks a 5 USC 557(C) (3) ruling on her Order to Show Cause.
7. Plaintiff seeks an Order mandating IFP entitled service of the summons and single sided verified complaint and other documents Plaintiff submitted, consistent with: service of the summons and complaint made, without charge, by the U.S. Marshal by or before July 31, 2014.
8. Plaintiff seeks an Order mandating the use of her single sided submitted documents for service of her verified complaint.
9. Due to the ECMC Defendants extortion and garnishment crimes detailed in the Plaintiff's verified complaint, Plaintiff has no money to carry the cost of the single sided Plaintiff submitted documents and has no money for the out of state process servicing of the Summons and complaint.
10. The financial and untimely obligations in Judge Nathan's Order dated July 16, 2014 created impossible conditions and induced these requests. I have been denied justice and seek an opportunity to cure the injustices inflicted on me. I should not be denied the right to fight off documented extortion and fraud inflicted on me because I cannot meet arbitrary financial demands.
11. As an alternative and In the interest of justice, and urgency, Plaintiff seeks permission to file the summons and complaint and other documents electronically.
12. The original date for effect service of the summons, the malicious double sided court created verified complaint and the unsigned Order to Show Cause was July 21, 2014.
13. I have never been set up like this, consequently I have never had to request an extension.


14. The extension is needed to unravel the multifaceted malice, prejudice and harm inflicted on Plaintiff and so Plaintiff can have a fair and impartial chance to win her law suit without premeditated malicious defects, like the court created double sided defective complaint.
15. Plaintiff attests under penalty of law that she submitted the original single page verified documents listed in paragraph 1.
16. Plaintiff requests an Order mandating the production and use of Plaintiff's documents in the proper single page form her documents were submitted.

17. A copy of the Plaintiff's single page court documents submitted are posted at:

<http://www.scribd.com/doc/234634232/ECMC-Lawsuit-Filed-July-10-2014-Final-Submitted-Needs-Scans>

**AFFIRMATION**

On the 21 day of July 2014, I, Miriam Snyder hereby affirm that the above statements and exhibits in this Request for Justice, Court Orders and an Extension for Service of the Summons and Verified Complaint are true and correct. I hereby further affirm that the basis of these statements is my own direct personal knowledge, experience, and historical facts involved. This notarized affidavit with my signature verifies the truth in my sworn statements. All of my statements are true and correct.



Miriam Snyder  
3230 Cruger Avenue 6B  
Bronx, New York 10467  
516 642 6007  
Fax: 866-244-9823  
[mirisni@aol.com](mailto:mirisni@aol.com)

Affirm before me 21, day of JULY, 2014

Notary Signature Norman Sakolsky

Commission Expires: Seal

JUNE 16, 2016

NORMAN SAKOLSKY  
NOTARY PUBLIC-STATE OF NEW YORK  
No. 01SA6188758  
Qualified in Suffolk County  
My Commission Expires June 16, 2016

**EXTRA PAGE FOR LINKING**

**17. A copy of the Plaintiff's single page court documents submitted are posted at:**

**<http://www.scribd.com/doc/234634232/ECMC-Lawsuit-filed-July-10-2014-Final-Submitted-Needs-Scans>**

U.S. Postal Service<sup>TM</sup>  
**CERTIFIED MAIL<sup>TM</sup> RECEIPT**  
 (Domestic Mail Only; No Insurance Coverage Provided)  
 For delivery information visit our website at [www.usps.com](http://www.usps.com).

**OFFICIAL USE**

NEW YORK NY 10006

Postage	\$	\$5.80	0709
Certified Fee		\$3.30	01
Return Receipt Fee (Endorsement Required)		\$0.00	
Restricted Delivery Fee (Endorsement Required)		\$0.00	
Total Postage & Fees	\$	\$9.10	

Sent To: NY S Judicial  
 Street, Apt. No., or PO Box No.: Completed  
 City, State, ZIP+4: 07/21/2014

PS Form 3800, August 2006 See Reverse for Instructions

U.S. Postal Service<sup>TM</sup>  
**CERTIFIED MAIL<sup>TM</sup> RECEIPT**  
 (Domestic Mail Only; No Insurance Coverage Provided)  
 For delivery information visit our website at [www.usps.com](http://www.usps.com).

**OFFICIAL USE**

NEW YORK NY 10278

Postage	\$	\$5.80	0709
Certified Fee		\$3.30	01
Return Receipt Fee (Endorsement Required)		\$0.00	
Restricted Delivery Fee (Endorsement Required)		\$0.00	
Total Postage & Fees	\$	\$9.10	

Sent To: FBI NY  
 Street, Apt. No., or PO Box No.: 07/21/2014  
 City, State, ZIP+4: 07/21/2014

PS Form 3800, August 2006 See Reverse for Instructions

U.S. Postal Service<sup>TM</sup>  
**CERTIFIED MAIL<sup>TM</sup> RECEIPT**  
 (Domestic Mail Only; No Insurance Coverage Provided)  
 For delivery information visit our website at [www.usps.com](http://www.usps.com).

**OFFICIAL USE**

WASHINGTON DC 20506

Postage	\$	\$6.15	0709
Certified Fee		\$3.30	01
Return Receipt Fee (Endorsement Required)		\$0.00	
Restricted Delivery Fee (Endorsement Required)		\$0.00	
Total Postage & Fees	\$	\$9.45	

Sent To: U.S. Dept of Justice  
 Street, Apt. No., or PO Box No.: Civil Rights Em.  
 City, State, ZIP+4: 07/21/2014

PS Form 3800, August 2006 See Reverse for Instructions

U.S. Postal Service<sup>TM</sup>  
**CERTIFIED MAIL<sup>TM</sup> RECEIPT**  
 (Domestic Mail Only; No Insurance Coverage Provided)  
 For delivery information visit our website at [www.usps.com](http://www.usps.com).

**OFFICIAL USE**

NEW YORK NY 10007

Postage	\$	\$1.82	0709
Certified Fee		\$3.30	01
Return Receipt Fee (Endorsement Required)		\$0.00	
Restricted Delivery Fee (Endorsement Required)		\$0.00	
Total Postage & Fees	\$	\$5.12	

Sent To: Pro Se  
 Street, Apt. No., or PO Box No.: 07/21/2014  
 City, State, ZIP+4: 07/21/2014

PS Form 3800, August 2006 See Reverse for Instructions

U.S. Postal Service<sup>TM</sup>  
**CERTIFIED MAIL<sup>TM</sup> RECEIPT**  
 (Domestic Mail Only; No Insurance Coverage Provided)  
 For delivery information visit our website at [www.usps.com](http://www.usps.com).

**OFFICIAL USE**

WASHINGTON DC 20506

Postage	\$	\$6.15	0709
Certified Fee		\$3.30	01
Return Receipt Fee (Endorsement Required)		\$0.00	
Restricted Delivery Fee (Endorsement Required)		\$0.00	
Total Postage & Fees	\$	\$9.45	

Sent To: President Obama  
 Street, Apt. No., or PO Box No.: 07/21/2014  
 City, State, ZIP+4: 07/21/2014

PS Form 3800, August 2006 See Reverse for Instructions